

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, OCTOBER 27, 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

4th Dist. Dollar Rent A Car Systems Incorporated, Petitioner
G028067 v.
Div. 3 Orange County Superior Court, Respondent
S092479 Rachelle L. Chang, Real Party in Interest
Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. In re Leroy Austin
A092622 on
Div. 5 Habeas Corpus
The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including December 11, 2000. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28(a)(1).)

2nd Dist. The People
B138683 v.
Ralph Lara
The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including November 27, 2000. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28(a)(1).)

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

A086322/S091441 City of Vacaville et al. v. State of California Bureau of Automotive Repair – December 7, 2000.

A086982/S091297 Harvey Greenfield v. Fritz Companies Inc. et al. – December 6, 2000.

B128254/S091489 Edward Politi v. County of Los Angeles – December 12, 2000.

B133984/S091407 Bi-Brite Meat and Provisions Co., Inc. v. Hawaiian Gardens Redevelopment Agency – December 10, 2000.

B134247/S091584 Martin Whitfield v. Heckler & Koch, Inc. – December 14, 2000.

- B134958/S091428 County of Los Angeles v. Los Angeles County Superior Court; Adam Axelrad, RPI – December 11, 2000.
- B139018/S091308 Steve A. Filarsky v. Los Angeles County Superior Court; City of Manhattan Beach, RPI – December 6, 2000.
- B143551/S091265 Kennedy-Wilson, Inc. v. Los Angeles County Superior Court; SM Physicians Center, LLC, RPI – December 5, 2000.
- D034723/S091453 Filipina Jimenez v. San Diego County Superior Court; Viking Industries, Inc. et al., RPIs – December 7, 2000.
- E021923/S091422 In re the Marriage of Kay and John Edward Dancy; Kay Carol Black v. John Edward Dancy – December 11, 2000.
- E022996/S091468 Paul Alford v. Fraihdoon Jalehchian – December 11, 2000.
- G024902/S091548 Lacy Kirk Sykes v. McDonnell Douglas Corporation – December 14, 2000.

S029460 People, Respondent

v.

Randall Scott Cash, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including November 27, 2000.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S030416 People, Respondent

v.

Sergio Ochoa, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including November 22, 2000.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S033440 People, Respondent

v.

Vicente Figueroa Benavides, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including December 26, 2000.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S040575 People, Respondent

v.

Delaney Geral Marks, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including November 22, 2000.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S090699 Alex Montenegro, Respondent

v.

Deborah Diaz, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including December 2, 2000.

Bar
Misc.
4186

In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

S072196 In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys
Pursuant to Rule 962 California Rules of Court

Having been provided proof of compliance pursuant to subdivision (1) of section 11350.6 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, the suspension of **Carlos Miguel Alcala, #87094**, pursuant to our order filed on July 28, 1998, is hereby terminated.

This order is final forthwith.

S080623

In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys

Pursuant to Rule 962, California Rules of Court

Richard Lavern Wyrick, #42504, was listed by the State Department of Social Services as being in arrears in payment of support obligations. He later obtained the necessary release from the appropriate District Attorney. He has subsequently been identified by the Department of Social Services as again being delinquent. Pursuant to Rule 962(a) of the California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that **Richard Lavern Wyrick** be suspended from membership in the State Bar of California and from the rights and privileges of an attorney to act from and after November 27, 2000.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon receipt by the State Bar of California of a release issued by the appropriate District Attorney pursuant to subdivision (1) of section 11350.6 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, the State Bar shall certify the fact of the receipt of such release to the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the suspension shall be terminated by order of this Court and he shall be fully restored to membership in the State Bar of California, and to all rights and privileges, duties and responsibilities incident thereto;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that until restored as above provided, he shall be precluded from practicing as an attorney at law, or an attorney or agent of another in and before all the courts, commissions and tribunals of this state, and from holding himself out to the public as an attorney or counsel at law.